### OFFICE OF THIE SHIERIFF



# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES HALL OF JUSTICE



JIM McDonnell, Sheriff

February 20, 2018

Sachi Hamai, Chief Executive Officer County of Los Angeles 713 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Ms. Hamai:

2018 FEB 26 AM 8: 55 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

### CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR BODY WORN CAMERAS

In your report to the Board of Supervisors, dated October 10, 2017, on the Sheriff's Plan to Implement Body Worn Cameras (BWC), you recommended that the Los Angeles County (County) Sheriff's Department (Department) "engage a consultant, with law enforcement experience, to evaluate/assess the proposed policies, procedures, deployment plan, staffing levels, and operational impact of BWCs in the Department. The assessment would include a community engagement process to solicit public input regarding the LASD's proposed BWC policies and procedures. This would be in advance of the rollout of the proposed BWC plan. The purpose for the community engagement and public comment process would be to give all constituents a meaningful opportunity to have a say in how BWC will be used in the County, and to ensure LASD's BWC policies is, to the extent possible, consistent with the interests and preferences of the communities it serves." Your report provided for a report back in 180 days.

At this time, I will not be moving forward with the recommendation to engage a consultant.

As you are aware, the Department is currently struggling with hundreds of vacancies in the sworn ranks, compounded by several hundred additional staff who are unable to work due to on-duty injuries. The rollout of a BWC program would require the Department to hire additional staff, further exacerbating our vacancies and putting additional strain onto our existing workforce. In light

211 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012

A Tradition of Service

of this, I do not feel it is prudent to spend additional resources for a consultant at this time.

In the future when our vacancy numbers have improved, we will revisit a BWC program and reevaluate the recommendations in your report.

If you have any questions, please contact Assistant Sheriff Jill Serrano at

Sincerely,

JIM McDONNELL

SHERIFF



## County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

October 10, 2017

Board of Supervisors HILDA L. SOLIS First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS Second District

SHEILA KUEHL Third District

JANICE HAHN Fourth District

KATHRYN BARGER Fifth District

To:

Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas, Chairman

Supervisor Hilda L. Solis Supervisor Sheila Kuehl Supervisor Janice Hahn Supervisor Kathryn Barger

From:

Sachi A. Hamair V Chief Executive Officer

AGENDA OF JULY 12, 2016)

SHERIFF'S PLAN TO IMPLEMENT BODY-WORN CAMERAS (ITEM NO. 2,

On July 12, 2016, the Board directed the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to prepare a budget proposal in support of the Body-Worn Camera (BWC) plan prepared by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) and report back to the Board in 120 days. The LASD and CEO were given an extension to complete their BWC plan to further evaluate policy issues, which would impact staffing and the proposed budget.

#### Background

The CEO, in collaboration with the LASD, convened the Countywide BWC Implementation Workgroup Fiscal Subcommittee (Fiscal Subcommittee) to assist departments in assembling their BWC budget proposal. The Fiscal Subcommittee was comprised of representatives from the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office (DA), Public Defender (PD), Alternate Public Defender (APD), Probation, LASD, CEO, Internal Services Department (ISD), Information Services Advisory Board (ISAB), and County Counsel.

Departments were asked to identify both one-time and ongoing resource requirements for the implementation, deployment and support of a BWC plan. This included: 1) BWC System (camera equipment, peripherals and associated cost to operate); and 2) Staffing Plan (resource needs created by the deployment and support of body-worn cameras). Departments were asked to provide justification to support their requests and include

assumptions and related statistical data/workload. The budget proposals do not include costs related to a Countywide Digital Evidence Management Strategy (DEM), as these were addressed in a November 8, 2016 report, coordinated and submitted by ISAB to the Board. As set forth in more detail below, our office conducted a thorough review and evaluation of the Departmental budget proposals and related workload assumptions supporting the proposals.

#### Summary of Budget Proposal

The LASD proposes a phased rollout process starting at six stations identified as the highest areas of risk and inclusion of at least one station from each Supervisorial District. The plan also considers the long-term implications for the justice system in that recordings would be utilized in criminal proceedings, administrative investigations, service complaints and civil liability claims. The use of BWC evidence and its impact on workload and resource requirements is still in its early stages with the first devices rolled-out by the Los Angeles Police Department in late 2015. Since access for trial preparation generally occurs 8-12 months after the content is uploaded, the volume of videos being accessed is still too low to provide precise cost estimates for involved justice partners. In addition, constantly improving technologies may allow for more efficient ways to collect, categorize, review and store video. For these reasons, the budget proposals are considered preliminary and will require an ongoing assessment to determine appropriate resource levels as body-worn cameras are phased into operations. The cost summary below also details the impact of body-worn cameras on the DA, PD, and APD offices who will be required to review and process camera data as part of case discovery evidence used in criminal proceedings.

	9-1-9	Total 75.663	Positions
431 \$5	5 232	75 662	220.0
	0.202	000.000	239.0
235	3.415	3.650	33.0
150	2.388	2.538	23.0
049	0.883	0.932	7.0
970	0.240	1.210	0.0
835 \$6	32.158	\$83.993	302.0
	.150 .049 .970	.150 2.388 .049 0.883 .970 0.240	.150 2.388 2.538 .049 0.883 0.932 .970 0.240 1.210

The costs will be incurred over a four-year period and are summarized by department on Attachment A. The cumulative cost of \$84.0 million over four years includes the deployment of 6,049 cameras (5,895 LASD, 150 DA and 4 PD) and total estimated ongoing salary and employee benefit (S&EB) costs of \$48.6 million with the departments requesting 302.0 additional positions (138.0 sworn; 164.0 non-sworn). The four-year deployment plan is operationalized as follows:

- Year 1 LASD pre-deployment phase focuses on staffing, infrastructure, procurement, program rollout and oversight.
- Year 2, Phase I LASD deployment at six patrol stations specifically chosen to address the highest areas of risk. The criminal justice partners also begin to phase in infrastructure and staffing needs in anticipation of additional workload to support the prosecution and defense of criminal cases. During this phase, the DA proposes the implementation of 150 BWCs for investigators and PD proposes 4 BWCs as a pilot for investigators.
- Year 3, Phase II LASD deployment at the remaining 17 patrol stations and one specialized patrol station. APD phases in the second year of staffing needs to support the defense of criminal cases.
- Year 4, Phase III LASD deployment at the remaining eight specialized patrol stations.

#### Pre-Deployment & Phase I

In fiscal year 2017-18 Recommended Budget, \$6.7 million was set aside in the Provisional Financing Uses budget unit to address the estimated costs associated with the pre-deployment phase of the BWC plan. In addition, to evaluate the reasonableness of the departments' proposal, a BWC pilot program was considered (i.e., deployment of cameras at a pre-determined number of Sheriff's stations for data collection and assessment). The costs for pre-deployment and Phase I as proposed by LASD (deployment of BWC at six stations) are estimated at \$36.2 million consisting of \$10.0 million in one-time and \$26.2 million in ongoing funding. Ongoing S&EB costs are estimated at \$21.6 million for 148.0 additional positions (55.0 sworn and 93.0 non-sworn). The budget proposal assumes LASD will receive a \$1.0 million federal grant award (during Phase I – Year 2) from the United States Department of Justice for their BWC program. Our Office has not identified a funding source for the pre-deployment and Phase I remaining costs of \$29.5 million.

The costs of pre-deployment and Phase I of the BWC plan are summarized below:

PRE-DEPLOYMENT & PHASE I BODY-WORN CAMERA PLAN \$ in Millions														
Department	One-Time	Ongoing	Total	Positions										
Sheriff	\$8.581	\$19.589	\$28.170	88.0										
District Attorney	0.235	3.415	3.650	33.0										
Public Defender	0.150	2.388	2.538	23.0										
Alternate Public Defender	0.028	0.563	0.591	4.0										
Internal Services Dept.	0.970	0.240	1.210	0.0										
Total:	\$9.964	\$26.195	\$36,159	148.0										

#### LASD Budget Proposal

The LASD budget proposal is summarized on Attachment B and includes the cost of the BWC system, staffing plan and resource requirements associated with additional workload to support the deployment of 5,895 BWCs. Additional staff is required to handle the following increased workload: perform audits and inspections of BWC recordings; review, redact and release BWC evidence for criminal prosecution of defendants; and review and prepare BWC recordings for public records requests, administrative investigations involving use of force, pursuits, collisions, work-related injuries and citizen complaints.

The proposal anticipates resource needs by uniformed patrol deputies and case handling detectives for criminal investigations, and supervisors performing administrative reviews, digital evidence management and video forensics. In addition, there are several key policy decisions outlined in the LASD BWC plan that have fiscal implications: 1) detectives will review video before filing criminal charges with the DA; 2) release of BWC recordings in response to Public Records Act (PRA) requests; 3) video retention; 4) data security; and 5) a field audit program.

Key assumptions included in the budget proposal are as follows:

 Purchasing a subscription-based total solution, which includes: BWCs and docking cradles (with a backup supply for repair and exchange out); a DEMs with unlimited storage in a secure cloud; BWC equipment refresh at 30 months; and all necessary technical support.

- Reviewing videos of active investigations by a detective is based on the actual annual hours per year a field deputy is on a service call with arrests. Further assumption is made that the detective will review pertinent incident video footage equivalent to 25 percent of time spent/recorded on the arrest call.
- Allocating one position per station to perform risk management administrative review requirements and supervision of the station's BWC plan.
- Allocating one forensic video specialist position per station to serve as custodian
  of records; perform training; assist with video identification, retrieval and review of
  BWC recordings for criminal and administrative investigations; and respond to
  public records requests. This is a non-sworn position requiring a new mid-range
  classification with specialized education and certification.
- Allocating one position per station to conduct BWC-related duties including: managing daily operations of the Digital Video Management Systems software, PRA requests, metadata audits, video redaction, and records requests.
- Incurring a one-time cost for the initial 8-hour training based on the backfill of overtime for each deputy.
- Allocating positions to the Specialized Detective Division Unit to perform significant/complex investigations involving homicides, human trafficking, sex crimes, career offenders and serial crimes. Assumes 2.5 percent increased workload; homicide is based on a 10 percent increase in workload.
- Allocating positions to the Internal Affairs Bureau and Internal Criminal Investigations to perform personnel investigations requiring extensive documentation and review of evidence to ensure adherence to the California Public Safety Officer's Procedural Bill of Rights. Assumes a 25 percent increase in workload of on-duty investigations.
- Allocating positions to the Audit and Accountability Bureau to provide independent analysis to assess and improve the department's policies, procedures and practices. Assumes a 25 percent increase in workload.
- Establishing a BWC Central Bureau to provide countywide administrative oversight, support for program and informational technology staff.

> Adding resources commensurate with staffing, such as operational funds/office supplies, office furniture, radio equipment, training, education, and consulting services.

Costs will be incurred over a four-year period as detailed on Attachment B. The cumulative cost of \$75.6 million (\$20.4 million one-time; \$55.2 million ongoing) over 4 years includes the deployment of 5,895 cameras and total estimated ongoing S&EB costs of \$42.4 million with the Department requesting 239.0 additional positions (138.0 sworn; 101.0 non-sworn).

#### DA/PD/APD Budget Proposal

Processing BWC Discovery Evidence - Prosecution and Defense

The budget proposals for DA, PD and APD are summarized on Attachment C and includes costs to prepare, review and process camera data as part of case discovery evidence utilized in criminal proceedings. Camera footage will be received from multiple jurisdictions. Paralegals will be tasked with the review and analysis of digital evidence provided by law enforcement agencies. The Legal Office Support Assistant will provide support for trial preparation, typing and processing of various legal documents such as briefs, complaints, motions, etc. Attorney positions will provide high-level analysis and direction within their offices on the use of and interpretation of digital evidence. They will serve as an expert resource for investigators to address questions concerning digital evidence in investigative and prosecutorial/defense proceedings. The Systems Information Analyst positions will provide support for all the information technology issues raised by the use, storage and maintenance, and evaluation of digital evidence.

The DA proposes a decentralized model allocating like staff to each of their 13 Branch locations and three central courts. PD and APD both propose a centralized staffing model. The costs incurred by the Departments are consistent with LASD's Phase I and Phase II deployment plan. The cumulative cost of \$8.3 million (\$1.4 million one-time; \$6.9 million ongoing) over four years includes infrastructure upgrades and prosecution and defense support with total estimated ongoing S&EB costs of \$6.2 million with the DA, PD, and APD requesting 63.0 additional positions.

#### **BWC Plan**

Both DA and PD proposals include issuing BWCs to their investigators. At this time, Probation is not requesting BWCs for their investigators, but may do so in the future.

The DA's proposal includes issuing 150 BWCs to their investigators assigned to the Bureau of Investigations (BOI) for use in the field. The BOI investigators with public interaction will be the primary users of the BWCs. Units impacted are: Code Enforcement, AB 109, Major Crimes, and Special Operations. The BWCs will be purchased and deployed during Phase I at a total cost of \$0.2 million (\$0.18 million ongoing; \$0.02 million one-time). However, actual deployment will be incremental with up to 50 cameras being deployed in the north County area upon purchase and the next 100 cameras being deployed six months thereafter.

The PD requests four cameras to pilot and test the program. Their investigators will use BWCs to record interactions with witnesses, victims, and document crime scenes and interviews. The BWCs will be purchased and deployed during Phase I at an ongoing cost of \$5,000.

#### Conclusion/Recommendation

Both the Board and LASD are committed to enhancing law enforcement transparency and accountability. How best to achieve these aims, requires an approach that is thoughtful and inclusive of community views. This is particularly true of BWCs so that a tool intended to protect civil rights does not have the unintended consequences of intensifying police surveillance of communities.

Although the departments' budget proposals have been informed by research of other BWC plans across the nation, the size and complexity of the County's proposed BWC plan makes comparisons difficult. Moreover, many jurisdictions are still implementing their programs and assessing policy decisions and impacts on operations that were not earlier contemplated. These implementation and operational issues coupled with the sizeable investment of almost \$84.0 million to fully implement the program require the County to carefully consider how best to move forward.

Since this report only requested the funding requirements to implement BWC, further research and outreach on this matter should be conducted prior to the Boards consideration, specifically, we recommend that LASD engage a consultant with law enforcement expertise to assess the proposed policies, procedures, deployment plan, staffing levels and operational impact of BWCs on the department and the public it serves. The assessment would include a community engagement process, so constituents can provide meaningful and essential input on LASD's proposed BWC policies and procedures to help broaden the County's decision-making process.

A report back to the CEO regarding the consultant's findings would be due in 180 days. The CEO would then submit final recommendations to the Board for consideration.

If you have any questions, please contact me, or your staff may contact Sheila Williams, Public Safety, at

SAH:JJ:MM SW:RCP:cc

#### Attachments

c: Executive Office, Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
District Attorney
Sheriff
Alternate Public Defender
Information Services Advisory Board
Internal Services
Probation
Public Defender

PS:N:B100822.BWC 101017.bm.docx

#### BODY-WORN CAMERA - SUMMARY OF BUDGET PROPOSAL

ine	Year 1 (P	re-Deployment	)	Yea	ar 2 (Phase I)		Yea	r 3 - (Phase II)		Year	4 (Phase III)			I Cumulative st of 4 Years	
# Department	One-Time		FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE
1 SHERIFF (5,895 Cameras) 2 Salaries & Employee Benefits 3 Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets 4 Grant Funds	\$ - 3,838,000 0	5 1,494,000 241,000 0	8.0	\$ 802,000 4,941,000 (1,000,000)	\$ 14,269,000 3,585,000 0	80.0	\$ 1,435,000 7,069,000 0	\$ 18,380,000 5,557,000 0	105.0	2,176,000 0	\$ 8,251,000 3,455,000 0	46.0	\$ 3,407,000 18,024,000 (1,000,000)		239.0
S Subtotal - SHERIFF	3,838,000	1,735,000		4,743,000	17,854,000		8,504,000	23,937,000		3,346,000	11,706,000		20,431,000	55,232,000	
6 DISTRICT ATTORNEY - Prosecution Support 7 Salaries & Employee Benefits				215,000	3,192,000 43,000	33.0							215,000	3,192,000 43,000	33.0
8 Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets 9 Subtotal - DA	-			215,000	3,235,000		-				-		215,000	3,235,000	T .
10 DISTRICT ATTORNEY - BWC Investigators (150 Cameras) 11 Salaries & Employee Benefits 12 Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets 13 Subtotal - DA				20,000	180,000			-	*	*	:	-	<b>20,000</b> 20,000	180,000 180,000	
PUBLIC DEFENDER - Defense Support Salaries & Employee Benefits Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets				150,000	2,141,000 242,000 2,383,000	23.0		*		-			150,000 150,000	2,141,000 242,000 2,383,000	
17 Subtotal - PD  18 PUBLIC DEFENDER - BWC Investigators (4 Cameras)  19 Salaries & Employee Benefits  20 Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets  21 Subtotal - PD				150,000	5,000 5,000		*	*			- ;		-	5,000 5,000	with
22 ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER 23 Salaries & Employee Benefits 24 Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets 25 Subtotal - APD				28,000	553,000 10,000 563,000	4.0	21,000.0	320,000.0	3.0		:		49,000 49,000	873,000 10,000 883,000	
26 INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT 27 Salaries & Employee Benefits 28 Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets 29 Subtotal - ISD				970,000	240,000								<b>970,000</b> 970,000	<b>240,000</b> 240,000	-
30 TOTAL (6,049 Cameras) 31 Salaries & Employee Benefits 32 Services & Supplies/Other Charges/Capital Assets 33 Grant Funds	\$ . 3,838,000	\$ 1,494,000 241,000	8.0		\$ 20,155,000 4,305,000	140.0	\$ 1,435,000 7,090,000	\$ 18,700,000 5,557,000	108.0	2,176,000	\$ 8,251,000 3,455,000	46.0	\$ 3,407,000 19,428,000 (1,000,000)	13,558,000	
34 TOTAL	\$ 3,838,000	\$ 1,735,000		\$ 6,126,000	\$ 24,460,000		\$ 8,525,000	\$ 24,257,000		\$ 3,346,000	\$ 11,706,000		\$ 21,835,000	\$ 62,158,000	

#### ATTACHMENT B

#### BODY-WORN CAMERA - SUMMARY OF BUDGET PROPOSAL (SHERIFF ONLY)

Line			re-Deploymen reaus Support	t)		r 2 (Phase I) trol Stations		r 3 - (Phase II) atrol Stations		Specialize	4 (Phase III) ed Patrol Statio		Total Cumulative Cost of 4 Years			
#	Description	One-Time	On-Going	FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE	One-Time	On-Going	FTE
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SALARIES & EMPLOYEE BENEFITS  Central Bureau Admin/Support/IT Staff  De-Centralized Patrol Stations  Specialized Detective Division Units  Professional Standards  Audit and Accountability Bureau  Subtotal - S&EB	\$	\$ 1,494,000	8.0	\$ - 802,000 - 802,000	\$ 3,534,000 6,129,000 2,712,000 1,894,000	21.0 35.0 14.0 10.0	\$ - 1,435,000 - 1,435,000	\$ 1,431,000 13,085,000 1,970,000 1,894,000	9.0 76.0 10.0 10.0 -	\$ 1,170,000	\$ 736,000 4,947,000 2,568,000 8,251,000	4.0 29.0 - 13.0 46.0	\$ 3,407,000	\$ 7,195,000 24,161,000 4,682,000 3,788,000 2,568,000 42,394,000	42.0 140.0 24.0 20.0 13.0 239.0
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		48,000 36,000 803,000 23,000 714,000	72,000 53,000 9,000		71,000 480,000 516,000 440,000 173,000 344,000 2,024,000	1,700,000 720,000 53,000 43,000 2,516,000		127,000 630,000 687,000 855,000 291,000 581,000 3,171,000	3,049,000 945,000 - 53,000 107,000 - 4,154,000		97,000 276,000 288,000 130,000 519,000 1,310,000	2,324,000 414,000 53,000 49,000		295,000 1,434,000 1,527,000 2,098,000 617,000 2,158,000 8,129,000	7,073,000 2,151,000 - 212,000 208,000	
18	OTHER CHARGES - Rent CAPITAL ASSETS - Pool Vehicles CAPITAL ASSETS - Station Network Infrastructure ONE-TIME DOJ Grant Funds	137,000 2,077,000	107,000		1,778,000 1,139,000 (1,000,000)	1,069,000		1,687,000 2,211,000	1,403,000		866,000	615,000		4,468,000 5,427,000 (1,000,000)	3,194,000	
21	TOTAL # of Camera Subscriptions	\$ 3,838,000	\$ 1,735,000 n/a		\$ 4,743,000	\$ 17,854,000 1,417		\$ 8,504,000	\$ 23,937,000		\$ 3,346,000	\$ 11,706,000 1,937		\$ 20,431,000	\$ 55,232,000	

#### BODY-WORN CAMERA - SUMMARY OF BUDGET PROPOSAL (PROSECUTION & DEFENSE SUPPORT)

		Phase I							hase			Phase II												
ine			Prosecutio	n/D	efense Supp	ort		BWC for	Invest	igators*		Pro	osecutio	-	fense Supp		_			ulative Cost	_			
#	Description	0	ne-Time		On-Going	FTE	0	ne-Time	On-	Going	FTE	One	e-Time	0	n-Going	FTE	C	ne-Time		On-Going	FTE			
1	DISTRICT ATTORNEY						74.5																	
2	Salaries & Employee Benefits	\$		\$	3,192,000	33.0	\$	-	\$								\$	-	\$	3,192,000	33.0			
4	Services & Supplies						-																	
5	Office Supplies	1			33,000					*								*		33,000				
6	Office Furniture		165,000		-													165,000		-				
7	Computer Equipment		50,000		- T- 3			-		+								50,000						
8	Digital Evidence Storage (Pre-DEMS)				10,000					*								*		10,000				
9	BWC Subscription Costs									180,000		-								180,000				
10	BWC Mount Accessories/Lock Device							20,000				100						20,000	_					
11	Subtotal S&S		215,000		43,000			20,000		180,000								235,000		223,000				
12												775					-							
13	SUBTOTAL - DA	\$	215,000	\$	3,235,000		\$	20,000	\$	180,000							\$	235,000	\$	3,415,000				
14	PUBLIC DEFENDER	100																		2 141 000	22 (			
15	Salaries & Employee Benefits	5	1.0	5	2,141,000	23.0	\$	1	\$		-						\$		2	2,141,000	23.0			
16																								
17	Services & Supplies																			220.000				
18	Office Supplies	1			230,000							1								230,000				
19	Office Furniture		115,000															115,000						
20	Computer Equipment/Software		35,000		2,000			*5										35,000		2,000				
1	Digital Evidence Storage (Pre-DEMS)		-		10,000															10,000				
22	8WC Subscription Costs		-		-			4.5		5,000								*		5,000				
23	BWC Mount Accessories/Lock Device				-					-								-			_			
24	Subtotal S&S		150,000		242,000					5,000								150,000		247,000				
25																			_		_			
26	SUBTOTAL - PD	\$	150,000	\$	2,383,000		\$	-	\$	5,000							\$	150,000	5	2,388,000	=			
27	ALTERNATE PUBLIC DEFENDER																							
28	Salaries & Employee Benefits	\$		\$	553,000	4.0						\$	-	\$	320,000	3.0	\$		\$	873,000	7.0			
30	Candana & Complian	1																						
31	Services & Supplies														-					2				
	Office Supplies Office Furniture		20,000										15,000		-			35,000		- 0				
32	The state of the s		8,000								- 1		6,000					14,000						
33	Computer Equipment		8,000		10,000						7.4		-							10,000				
34	Digital Evidence Storage (Pre-DEMS)	-	28,000	-	10,000								21,000	)	-	-		49,000		10,000	and a			
35 36	Subtotal S&S		20,000		10,000								22,000					200						
37	SUBTOTAL - APD	\$	28,000	\$	563,000							\$	21,000	\$	320,000		\$	49,000	\$	883,000				
38	INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT	100			o top of the						E CH	E 5				574	-							
39	Services & Supplies-Internet Bandwidth	\$	1	\$	240,000											TO N	\$		5	240,000				
40		1														FINA								
41	Capital Assets																							
42	Upgrade HOR/CJC/HOJ to EAV (10 Gbs)		50,000															50,000						
43	Network Upgrade - 46 Locations	10	920,000									118				0.14		920,000		*	_			
44	Subtotal-Other Charges		970,000									192				WE		970,000						
45												1						1111111						
46	SUBTOTAL - ISD	\$	970,000	\$	240,000							2.14					\$	970,000	\$	240,000	-			
17	TOTAL	+					T			/850	40													
48	Salaries & Employee Benefits	\$		\$	5,886,000	60.0	\$		\$	-		\$		\$	320,000	3.0	\$			6,206,000				
49			393,000		535,000			20,000		185,000			21,000		0			434,000		720,000				
50			970,000		0			0		0				_	0			970,000		0				
51	200 A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA	4	1 363 000	5	6,421,000		S	20,000	5	185,000		5	21,000	3 \$	320,000		\$	1,404,000	\$	6,926,000				

<sup>\*</sup> DA reflects 150 cameras to deploy BWCs to Bureau of Investigation (BOI) investigators for use in the Field. The BOI investigators with public interaction will be the primary users for BWCs. PD reflects 4 cameras to pilot and test with investigators as a method for interviews and witnesses.